	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/834,596	WATANABE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Traviss C. McIntosh	1623
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<del>_</del>
(1) <u>Traviss C. McIntosh</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Charlotte Thornhill</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 April 2006	Time: <u>12:00</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:		·
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants inquired about changing the title to correct a spelling error and sent me a copy of the reference which was previously filed incompletely, wherein I indicated I would consider the reference and send it on an 892 and correct the title via an examiner amendment. Any further considerations may require a filing of an RCE for consideration..